




News

What's new at ZPID? Projects? Cooperation partners? Find out here.

[View all news](#)

02/12/2019

ZPID Supports German Psychology Prize

ZPID participates for the first time in awarding the German Psychology Prize this year.


02/06/2019

Research Synthesis 2019 - incl. Big Data Symposium: Registrations and Preliminary Program

The preliminary program

leibniz-psychology.org (ZPID)

- ... ist ein Public-Open-Science-Institut für die Psychologie und verwandte Disziplinen.
- ... befindet sich im Prozess der strategischen Erweiterung, um alle Arbeitsprozesse, die bei einem idealtypischen Forschungszyklus anfallen, unterstützen zu können.




leibniz-psychology.org (ZPID)

Unterstützt die wissenschaftliche Community der Psychologie und (darüber hinaus), um Forschung

- auffindbar
- zugänglich
- kompatibel
- nachnutzbar

zu machen.





Dokumentation von psychologischer Literatur und Testverfahren aus dem deutschen Sprachraum

PSYINDEX Lit

Nachweise psychologischer Literatur

- Forschungspublikationen (empirische Studien, Metaanalysen etc.)
- Fallstudien und Erfahrungsberichte
- Interventionsprogramme
- Patientenratgeber

PSYINDEX Tests

Nachweise psychologischer
und pädagogischer Testverfahren

1. ausführliche Reviews
2. Kurzbeschreibungen
3. Kurznachweise (bibliografische Angaben und Sacherschließung)

Weitere Angebote

Testarchiv

PsychLinker

PsychAuthors




PubPsych

Erweiterte Suche
Hilfe

PsychPorta

Erweiterte Suche
Hilfe



En | Es | Fr | De
Startseite
Hilfe



CLUBS-Projekt


Empirische Untersuchung von vier verschiedenen Ansätzen im Bereich des "Cross-lingual information retrieval":

- Übersetzung der Suchanfrage
- Übersetzung des Inhalts (Metadaten und Abstracts) in alle Sprachen
- Englisch als Brückensprache
- Wissensbasierter Ansatz (über Thesauri)


Begleitforschung

- Analyse der Suchanfragen und Recherchewege in PubPsych
- Onsite-Befragung von PubPsych-Nutzenden
- Usability-Studie zum Relaunch des Online-Testarchivs



PsychTopics



PsychTopics



PsychTopics



<https://abitter.shinyapps.io/psychtopics/>



PsychNotebook

jupyter sai(2) Last Checkpoint: a minute ago (autosaved)

Logout

File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help

Trusted

Markdown Select Psychological method

Slide Type

How smart do you think you are?

A meta-analysis on the validity of self-estimates of cognitive ability

Philipp Alexander Freund and Nadine Kasten

Slide Type

Hypotheses

Overall Relationship: Most studies investigating the relationship between self-estimates of cognitive ability and psychometric test scores are significant, positive correlations. We therefore expect to find a significant, positive overall relationship between the two variables

Moderator Analysis: We hypothesize that self-estimates concerning verbal, numerical, or spatial abilities should be more valid than self-assessments of general cognitive ability, which in turn is usually a compound of different subabilities (as implemented in omnibus test batteries). Consequently, use of these "standard" abilities should also result in more valid self-estimates than use of more rarely assessed abilities, such as memory or processing speed, for instance.

In [9]:

Slide Type


```

1 ##install packages
2 #install.packages("ggplot2")
3 #install.packages("metafor")
4
5 ##load packages
6 library(ggplot2)
7 library(metafor)
8
9 ##load data
10 sai <- read.csv(file="SAI_Beispiel.CSV",header=TRUE, sep=";", dec = ",")

```

Publication Bias

We also performed a funnel plot analysis to investigate if there was any kind of publication bias toward over- or underpowered studies in our data set. As the figure shows, the majority of the effect sizes have rather low standard errors (smaller than 0.1), meaning that they were estimated with a reasonable degree of precision. Also, there appears to be a moderately asymmetric relationship between the magnitude of effect sizes and their standard errors, there is practically no reason to assume that publication bias is a problem in the present meta-analysis. The funnel plot also gives evidence for heterogeneity among effect sizes.





Registered Reports Workshop 2018

Copy of Information Recommended for Inclusion in Manuscripts Reporting Meta-Analyses

Description Guidelines & Warnings Materials **Steps** +SHARE VIEW All changes saved

Title

1 State the research question and type of research synthesis (e.g., narrative synthesis, meta-analysis).

Author note

2 List all sources of monetary and in-kind funding support; state the role of funders in conducting the synthesis and deciding to publish the results, if any.

Describe possible conflicts of interest, including financial and other nonfinancial interests.

Give the place where the synthesis is registered and its registry number, if registered.

Provide name, affiliation, and e-mail address of corresponding author.

Abstract

3 **Objectives:**

State the research problems, questions, or hypotheses under investigation.

Abstract

4 **Eligibility criteria:**

Describe the characteristics for inclusion of studies, including independent variables (treatments, interventions), dependent variables (outcomes, criteria), and eligible study designs.

Abstract

5 **Methods of synthesis:**

Describe the methods for synthesizing study results, including

- Statistical and other methods used to summarize and to compare studies
- Specific methods used to integrate studies if a meta-analysis was conducted (e.g., effect-size metric, averaging method, the model used in homogeneity analysis)

Abstract

6 **Results:**

State the results of the synthesis, including

- Number of included studies and participants, and their important characteristics
- Results for the primary outcome(s) and moderator analyses
- Effect size(s) and confidence interval(s) associated with each analysis if a meta-analysis was conducted



Datenerhebung am ZPID - PsychLab

Online lab



Umfragen &
Online-
Experimente

Zur Zeit testen wir verschiedene Anbieter/Rekrutierungsmethoden und Studiendesigns im Online-Labor und verschiedene Eye-Tracker in verschiedenen Setups im Offline-Labor.

Offline lab



Blickbewegungslabor

Online Lab



- Panelanbieter:
 - Quotenstichproben
 - Longitudinalstudien
 - Groß angelegte Replikationsstudien
- Crowdsourcing: Experimente zur Entscheidungsfindung
- Study feed

Offline Lab



EyeLink 1000+,
desktop mount,
remote camera



Tobii Pro Spectrum
(600 Hz)



Tobii Pro X3-120 (3x)

- Visuelle Wahrnehmung
 - Usability-Studien
- Mobile Eyetracker + Smartphones

PupilLabs Pupil Core,
Motorola Moto Z3 play



Disciplinary Repository for Psychological Science

PsychArchives is a disciplinary repository preserving a variety of digital research objects (DROs), with 21 different publication types (preprints, primary, and secondary publications), research data, tests, preregistrations, multimedia and code. We provide easy and free access to DROs according to the FAIR principles, which implies the commitment to ensure that research and research data are findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable.

Start a new search

Search

Recent Submissions

What about false insights? Deconstructing the Aha! experience along its multiple dimensions for correct and incorrect solutions separately. (Danek et al.)	2017	researchData
Twin study of the self- and peer- assessments of generalized prejudice: genetic and environmental overlap between prejudice, personality, and ideological variables (Bratko et al.)	2019-01	studyProtocol
Study_1_first_sample_German_Turks (Knežević et al.)	2019-02-08	researchData
Materialien zu "Nonverbale Synchronie und Musik-Erleben im klassischen Konzert" (Seibert et al.)	2019	supplement
Estimating the Performance of Predictive Models with Resampling Methods (Pargent)	2019	conferenceObject
Supplementary materials to "Contempt of congress: Do liberals and conservatives harbor equivalent negative emotional biases towards ideologically congruent vs. incongruent politicians at the level of individual emotions?" (Steiner et al.)	2019	supplement

PsychArchives in a nutshell

Shared Digital Research Objects (DRO)

- research outputs from the entire cycle psychological research are welcome.

Citable and discoverable

- uploads are assigned a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) to make them easily and uniquely citable.

Open licensing

- fostering re-use and open science

Safe

- your research output is stored securely in the future at a sustainable, public infrastructure.

DataWiz is a free data management system that helps prepare research data.

DataWiz ...

- facilitates high-quality documentation according to current standards.
- enables collaborative and distributed work on projects.
- ensures the long-term reusability of research data.

DataWiz covers the entire research data management process:

- Organize and document research projects
- Create data management plans adapted to the requirements of various sponsors (BMC, DFG)
- Export study documentation for preregistrations
- Work together with specific assignment of access rights

- Import and version control records
 - Read variable descriptions from SPSS and convert into codebooks
 - Convert to relevant data formats
- Archiving and reuse
- Export entire project or individual studies into long-term readable formats for your own repository
 - Provide via PsychArchives, the ZPID repository

Getting started with DataWiz:

You need a DataWiz account that you can create [here](#). After logging in with your DataWiz user

Social Psychological Bulletin

Search this journal...

Articles

About

Submission Procedure

Guidelines

Solomon Asch Award

Editorial

Social Psychological Bulletin

**CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY
IN EUROPE**

Search this journal...

Articles

About

Aims and Scope

Author Guidelines

CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY IN EUROPE

The Official Academic Journal of the
European Association of Clinical Psychology and Psychological Treatment



Twee

Social
RetweetsI am look
on the lin

Forum Paper

Social Psychological Bulletin 13(2): e25025
<https://doi.org/10.5964/spb.v13i2.25025> (29 May 2018)

Is Psychology Still a Science of Behaviour?

▼ Dariusz Dolirski

Abstract ▲

Since the 1970s, social psychology has examined real human behaviour to an increasingly smaller degree. This article is an analysis of the reasons why this is so. The author points out that the otherwise valuable phenomenon of cognitive shift, which occurred in social psychology precisely in the 1970s, naturally boosted the interest of psychologists in such phenomena like stereotypes, attitudes, and values; at the same time, it unfortunately decreased interest in others, like aggression, altruism, and social influence. In recent decades, we have also witnessed a growing conviction among psychologists that explaining why people display certain reactions holds greater importance than demonstrating the conditions under which people display these reactions. This assumption has been accompanied by the spread of statistical analysis applied to empirical data, which has led to researchers today generally preferring to employ survey studies (even if they are a component of experiments being conducted) to the analysis of behavioural variables. The author analyses the contents of the most recent volume of "Journal of Personality and Social Psychology", and argues that it is essentially devoid of presentations of empirical studies in which human behaviours are examined. This gives rise to the question of whether social psychology remains a science of behaviour, and whether such a condition of the discipline is desirable.

Keywords ▲

social psychology, behavioural research, dichotomic variable, uncertainty principle

The eruption of the scandal involving academic fraud committed by Diederik Stapel led to the emergence of a large number of exceptionally important initiatives among social psychologists that addressed the crisis that had engulfed our discipline. Attention was drawn to the need to replicate studies, to place greater emphasis on effect size than on the significance of differences between averages, and the idea of pre-registration of studies was floated. And while we may not yet claim that social psychology is clearly and unequivocally in a better condition than a decade, or even several decades ago (Motyl et al., 2017), the mere fact of the developing debate on the subject and growing awareness of the issues involved is of fundamental importance.

The objective of this article, however, is to focus attention on an entirely different issue, itself also associated with social psychology. Before the beginning of the scandal associated with Stapel's fraud, the pages of *Perspectives on Psychological Science* published an article by Baumeister, Vohs, and Funder (2007) with the meaningful title "Psychology as the science of self reports and finger movements". The authors of this article pointed out that while psychology is defined as the science of behaviour, at present behaviours do not constitute the primary object of its interest. Insofar as both animal and developmental psychologists do, in fact, observe and analyse behaviours (as the authors jokingly suggest: maybe because they are incapable of inducing their subjects – animals and small children, all unable to write – to fill in surveys), in the case of social psychology, behaviours other than the completion of surveys...

Contents Article info Citation Metrics Comment Related
Tabs Refs Cited

Altmetric



See more details

- Picked up by 1 news outlets
- Blogged by 2
- Tweeted by 28
- 49 readers on Mendeley

Dimensions




13 Total citations
13 Recent citations
n/a Field Citation Ratio
n/a Relative Citation Ratio


Article views

Unique views: 3625 | Total views: 5361

HTML PDF XML




Forschungsbereiche am ZPID



Forschungsliteralität

Projekte


- MEPIC - Epistemischer Wandel im Hochschulkontext
- PHILMS - Personality, Health Information Literacy, and Information Seeking Behavior in Multiple Sources
- WisE - Entwicklung professioneller Wissensnetzwerke bei Erstsemestern
- BLInk - Blended Learning von Informationskompetenz
- PSL - Plain Language Summaries (geplant)



Forschungssynthesen

Projekte

- Metaanalysen bei großen Datenmengen
- Mechanismen der Panelkonditionierung in Längsschnitbefragungen: Reflektion, Satisficing und soziale Erwünschtheit
- Systematische Übersichtsarbeiten
- Evidenzbasierte Datenerhebungsmethodik



Big Data

Ziel

Verarbeitung psychologischer Daten mit

- hohem Datenvolumen
- großer Produktionsgeschwindigkeit
- Vielfalt (Text, Bilder, Videos, Zahlen)
- unterschiedlicher Glaubwürdigkeit

Fragestellungen

- Sammlung, Handhabung, Verarbeitung, Analyse von Daten
- Beispielanwendungen
- psychologische Interventionen
- Synthesen



Mehr erfahren ...

Newsletter

Möchten Sie den ZPID Newsletter empfangen? Dann geben Sie Ihre E-Mail an:



Fragen?

Veronika Kuhberg-Lasson
ZPID



@ZPID

vk@leibniz-psychology.org
info@leibniz-psychology.org